

(SRI V. SRINIVASA SHETTY)

action or made any representation to the Government of India to see that one of the tenders at least is accepted.

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Government have been taking very prompt action every now and then in order to bring home to the Government of India the need for urgent finalisation of these tenders.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—Will the Government be able to assure the House that their very important work will be taken up during this season?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is hoped that the tenders will be finalised and clearance will be given within this month and that we will be able to take up this work during this season.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Supplementary to (d): May I know when this change came about?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The change has come about during inspections. I cannot tell the Hon'ble Member when exactly it was made. The site was changed from about 100 ft. upstream at the highway bridge to 600 ft. upstream of the highway bridge. So, in accordance with requirements we have to modify the estimate of the approach roads.

1-30 P.M.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—May I know whether it has not been finally approved and whether there will be no change hereafter?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There is no possibility of any change hereafter. That is the final thing and estimates are being prepared. Immediately after the estimates are sanctioned it will be taken up.

Sri Y. MANJIAH SHETTY.—Has Government sanctioned special staff for this bridge work?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Even before the tenders are finalised we have already sanctioned a Division and Sub-Divisions. The staff has already gone there in anticipation that immediately after tenders are finalised orders will be issued.

Sri Y. MANJIAH SHETTY.—What is the estimated time required for the construction of this bridge?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is a bit too early so say, but all possible efforts will be made to see that no time is wasted and all avoidable delays are avoided.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Is there no stipulation with regard to the period in the terms of the contract?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I do not know exactly what the terms and conditions of the contract are and what time has been fixed, but immediately after the tenders are approved I will be able to tell you that.

### Delay in the Execution of Hirekolale Project.

\*Q.—84. Sri A. M. BASAVE GOWDA (Chickmagalur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware of the hard conditions prevailing for want of adequate drinking water facilities in Chickmagalur Town;

(b) the reasons for the delay in executing the Hirekolale project?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The Chief Engineer (Irrigation and Public Health) has been asked to accord technical sanction to the estimate and take up the work immediately.

Sri A. M. BASAVE GOWDA.—I have no supplementaries to ask, Sir.

### Plan Outlay and Central Aid for the Second Five-Year Plan.

\*Q.—104. Sri T. TARE GOWDA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the plan outlay for the Second Five-Year Plan and how it has been utilised;

(b) the Central Aid for the Second Five-Year Plan and how much is utilised ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(c) The plan outlay for the re-organised State of Mysore is Rs. 145.13 crores.

As against this the expenditure during the first three years is as follows

	Rs.
1956—57	... 18.3 crores
1957—58	... 20.9 „
1958—59	... 25.6 „
Total	... 64.8 crores

Copies of the Plan Programme for the last three years have already been distributed to the members.

(b) The Central assistance for the five years will be as follows :—

	Rs.
1956—57	... 7.2 crores
1957—58	... 13.2 „
1958—59	... 15.8 „
1959—60	... 14.5+1.5 „
1960—61	... 16.5+1.0 „
Total	... 67.2+2.5 crores

The amount of Central assistance has been fully utilised in the first three years.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರು ಭರವಸೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಆಶೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಸಿರಾ, ಹಿರಿಯೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 3000 ಎಕರೆಗೆ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಸೌಕರ್ಯವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಗಾಯತ್ರಿ ರಿಸರ್ವಾಯರ್‌ಗೆ ಗವರ್ನರ್ ಅವರು 1951-1952ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಫೌಂಡೇಷನ್ ಹಾಕಿದರೂ ಸಹ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಅದು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಆಗದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರೆ ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ವಿವರಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ಪ್ರವಾಹ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಆಗುವಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು, ಈ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಶೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಆಗರೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether in this answer expenditure on planned works alone has been included or expenditure on non-planned works also has been included ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The question and the answer both pertain only to plan expenditure.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is the grant of Rs. 6 crores awarded by the Finance Commission included in the figure of Central assistance shown here ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The plan expenditure includes both expenditure from Central assistance and also from the State's own resources.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—May I know whether in the year 1958-59 to make the figure of Rs. 25.6 crores, non-plan expenditure also is included in the planned expenditure ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I may explain that if certain items have been wrongly classified as non-plan expenditure, they have got to be rectified and brought under plan expenditure.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—In that particular year there was a particular target for plan expenditure and there was yet another particular target for non-plan expenditure. If both are added together, then the target should have been exceeded. Is this figure of Rs. 25.6 crores arrived at by adding non-plan expenditure also to plan expenditure ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no plan for non-plan items; there is a plan for plan-items. Certain amounts which should have been correctly included in plan items wrongly, got mixed up in non-plan expenditure. They have now been brought under plan expenditure with the permission of the Planning Commission. It is quite regular and there is nothing wrong about it.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether Rs. 6 crores awarded by the Finance Commission is included in this figure of Central assistance ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That comes under State's resources.

**Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.**—May I know what is the tax effort and the anticipated tax revenue during the Second-Plan period?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—A separate question may be put.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—The Chief Minister was pleased to say that in respect of the year 1958-59 certain non-plan items of developmental expenditure had been included, but I want to know whether in the original plan drawn up for this year in the Second Plan those items of expenditure were included and how can you take credit for that expenditure as new items.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Replies are not given with a view to take credit. They are given only to place facts before the House.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—My question is this. In the original plan of Rs. 145 crores drawn up for the Second Plan, certain items of expenditure were included. If the explanation given by the Chief Minister is accepted it would appear that they have spent more than the stipulated expenditure in regard to plan items. I want this to be made very clear to the House. I want to know while calculating the figure of Rs. 25.6 crores for 1958-59 what is the total amount of non-plan expenditure included and what happens to the legitimate items of expenditure included in the original plan approved by the Legislature.

†**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—Unless a definite question is put it is difficult to answer it definitely, but all the same I would like to answer it. As the House is aware, we were all agitating for inclusion of certain non-plan expenditure of a developmental character like restoration of tanks, etc., in plan expenditure. We explained to the Planning Commission that this was really developmental expenditure and there unless it was included in the developmental expenditure it would not be possible for us to find the resources both for plan and non-plan expenditure. Ultimately the Planning Commission saw the reasonableness of our request and they included it in plan expenditure as it is developmental expenditure.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—My question has not yet been answered. I am not objecting to the inclusion of non-plan items of expenditure in plan expenditure with the approval of the Planning Commission, but my question is what happened to the other items of expenditure equal to the amount of non-plan expenditure which is now included in the Second Plan?

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—The whole thing gets merged and it becomes plan expenditure.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—So to that extent the State plan was reduced in its magnitude.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—The size of the plan has not been reduced. Items also have not been cut down. What ought to have been named as non-plan expenditure was now allowed to be included in plan expenditure.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—It is a very important question so far as the State is concerned.

**ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.**—ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಆ ಉತ್ತರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡುವುದು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.**—145 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆಫ್ ದಿ ಪ್ಲಾನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದರು. 50 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ನಾನ್-ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್-ಪೆಂಡಿಚರ್? ಅದನ್ನು ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್-ಪೆಂಡಿಚರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಒರಿಜನಲ್ ಪ್ಲಾನಿನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ 145 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಯಾ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.**—50 ಕೋಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ಕೋಟಿ ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.**—ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆಫ್ ದಿ ಪ್ಲಾನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಇನ್ನು ಎರಡು ಕೋಟಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.**—ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನನಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

### Strike in the Industrial Concerns after the Introduction of Code of Discipline.

\*Q.—135. **Sri C. K. RAJAI AH SETTY** (Chickanaayakanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of strikes reported in the State since the introduction of